Bugfixing is fun Tips and tricks for debugging KDE applications

David Faure

July 6th 2009



Image: A state of the state

Outline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions







A Bug's Life

- $Defect \Rightarrow Infection(s) \Rightarrow Failure$
 - Defect: the "bug" in the code
 - Infection: the effect of the "bug" on program state (variables)
 - Failure: the observable result of the "bug" (e.g. crash)

Example

```
int a = computeValue();
int b = a - 1;
int c = 1 / b;
```

◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ↓ ○
David Faure – Bugfixing is fun – 3/31

Outline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions



Reproducing bugs

Deterministic bugs

No problem, go to next slide

Non-deterministic bugs

- Run the program in valgrind (memcheck), to detect use of uninitialized data
- Threading: run the program in helgrind, to detect races
- Write automated tests covering as many cases as possible
- Simulate random input until bug occurs
- Postpone until reproduceable :-)

◆□▶ ◆掃▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = ∽) Q (~)

Outline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions



Simplifying the problem Because size matters

Proceed by binary search, reducing input size by half every time. Manually or automatically (ddmin algorithm).

Example

- Large HTML page crashes konqueror
- Large mail crashes kontact
- Error when calling program with 20 arguments
- LaTeX error while writing this presentation
- Many user actions ⇒ find minimum set
- Guilty commit: svn-bisect, git-bisect

Goal: to lead us to the actual failure cause.

Outline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions



Scientific debugging It's called computer science for a reason

Procedure

- Observe failure
- Make hypothesis (cause + effect)
- Use hypothesis to make predictions
- Test hypothesis using experiments
 - Experiment where the cause does not occur
 - Or verification of prediction with debugger
- Observe experiment result
 - True: refine hypothesis, if possible, repeat
 - False: find alternate hypothesis, repeat

Example

a = computeValue();

```
printf("a = (n', a); // shows a = 0! Bug!
```

- Hypothesis: a being 0 is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: If a was not 0, the value of a would be printed
- **3** Experiment:

Example

a = 1;

printf("a = %d n", a);

t: a = 0 is still printed. Hypothesis rejected.

Example

a = computeValue();

```
printf("a = (n', a); // shows a = 0! Bug!
```

- Hypothesis: a being 0 is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: If a was not 0, the value of a would be printed
- **3** Experiment:

Example

a = 1;

printf("a = %d n", a);

a = 0 is still printed. Hypothesis rejected.

Example

a = computeValue();

```
printf("a = d\n", a); // shows a = 0! Bug!
```

- Hypothesis: a being 0 is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- 2 Prediction: If a was not 0, the value of a would be printed
- **3** Experiment:

Example

a = 1;

printf("a = %d\n", a);

a = 0 is still printed. Hypothesis rejected.

Example

a = computeValue();

```
printf("a = d\n", a); // shows a = 0! Bug!
```

- Hypothesis: a being 0 is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- 2 Prediction: If a was not 0, the value of a would be printed
- 3 Experiment:

Example

a = 1;

printf("a = %d n", a);

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{0}$ is still printed. Hypothesis rejected.

Example

a = computeValue();

```
printf("a = d\n", a); // shows a = 0! Bug!
```

- Hypothesis: a being 0 is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- 2 Prediction: If a was not 0, the value of a would be printed
- 3 Experiment:

Example

a = 1;

printf("a = %d n", a);

A Result: a = 0 is still printed. Hypothesis rejected.

Scientific debugging: example kDebug rocks, printf not so much

Example

- Hypothesis: the format %d is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: using %f, the value of a is actually printed.
- Experiment: printf("a = %f\n", a);
- 4 Result: works. Hypothesis verified. And in this case,

Scientific debugging: example kDebug rocks, printf not so much

Example

- Hypothesis: the format %d is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: using %f, the value of a is actually printed.
- Experiment: printf("a = %f\n", a);
- 4 Result: works. Hypothesis verified. And in this case, fixed to d.

Scientific debugging: example kDebug rocks, printf not so much

Example

- Hypothesis: the format %d is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: using %f, the value of a is actually printed.
 - Experiment: printf("a = %f\n", a);
- 4 Result: works. Hypothesis verified. And in this case, fit top d

Scientific debugging: example kDebug rocks, printf not so much

Example

double a; a = computeValue(); printf("a = %d\n", a);

- Hypothesis: the format %d is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: using %f, the value of a is actually printed.
- **3** Experiment: printf("a = %f n", a);

4 Result: works. Hypothesis verified. And in this case,

Scientific debugging: example kDebug rocks, printf not so much

Example

- Hypothesis: the format %d is the cause for a = 0 being printed
- Prediction: using %f, the value of a is actually printed.
- **3** Experiment: printf("a = %f n", a);
- 4 Result: works. Hypothesis verified. And in this case, fix found.

Mental check-lists

A useful basis for making hypothesis is mental check-lists.

Slot not called. Why?

- signal not emitted
- receiver deleted
- emitter deleted
- connect() not done (yet?)
- connect() failed (e.g. wrong syntax; check stderr)
- connect() done on other instances
- disconnect() called



Dependencies

To isolate value origins, follow back the dependencies from the statement in question.

- Data dependencies (V2 is calculated from V1)
- Control dependencies (statements executed conditionnally)

Debuggers can't go back...

- Multiple runs (in debugger, or after adding debug output)
- Enough debug output for a comprehensive log
- Backtrace

JOutline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions



Observing facts: kDebug

Make output clear and complete.

Confusing message

if (!findItem(name, flags))
 kDebug() << "Item named" << name << "not found";</pre>

Where am I called from?

```
kDebug() << kBacktrace();
At runtime:
qdbus org.kde.foo /KDebug printBacktrace
```

Not very useful by default, due to –hidden-visibility.
 Less useful than "bt" in gdb (which shows values).

debug statements in Qt itself the door was open, I came in and made changes

Modify Qt to:

- Insert printf, qDebug/qWarning or even hand-made qBacktrace, to see all invocations of a given method.
- Add abort() to catch warnings from bad Qt API usage, like
 - "postEvent: unexpected null receiver" (often due to NULL->deleteLater())
 - "Calling appendChild() on a null node does nothing." (kontact startup)
- Getting more info from Qt. "QAction::eventFilter: Ambiguous shortcut overload: Del" (impossible in gdb, better use qDebug patch from maelcum)



Demo: gdb ./ktoolbar_unittest

Additional tips

- fs (finish and step)
- set print object

Don't "break qWarning".
 Use "break qt_message_output".

gdb konqueror

b 'KXmlGuiWindow::applyMainWindowSettings' qs4 config.d.d->sOwner.d.d_ptr.fileName Associating commands with breakpoint

Exercise: reading a bt with an assert

#8 abort () from /lib/libc.so.6 #9 qt_message_output(QtMsgType, char const*) () from /usr/lib/libQtCore.so.4 #10 qFatal(char const*, ...) () from /usr/lib/libQtCore.so.4 #11 qt_assert_x(char const*, char const*, char const*, int) () from /usr/lib/libQtCore.so.4 #12 QListQString>::operator[] (this=0xff8a991c, i=0) at /usr/include/ltCore/qlist.h:403 #13 Aki:Tc::Socket::connectToHost (this=0xf1b528) at /home/me/akiirc/irc/socket.cpp:141 #14 ServerView::ServerView(struct QWidget *) (this=0x821d988, parent=0x80d49b0) at /home/me/aki/servervie #15 MainWindow::MainWindow(struct QWidget *) (this=0x82048b0, parent=0x0) at /home/me/aki/mainwindow.cpp: #16 AkiApulication::mexInstance (this=0xf8a6300 at /home/me/aki/akiapulication.cpp:76



 Image: A line and a line line and a line



Example

- Demo: gdb ./kdirlistertest, b 'KJob::emitResult'
- emit result(this);
- Which slot is this going to go into?
- call this->dumpObjectInfo()

Result

- signal: result(KJob*)
 - --> KIO::JobUiDelegate::unnamed _k_result(KJob*)
 - --> KDirListerCache::unnamed slotResult(KJob*)

The right tool for the right bug No hammer needed

Inconsistent behavior

Example

kfiltertest would error on byte 15. Running it again, it errored on byte 18.

 \Rightarrow valgrind! Use of free'd data.

- Performance issue ⇒ callgrind+kcachegrind
- Memory leak ⇒ memcheck (valgrind) with -leak-check=yes
- Too much memory use ⇒ massif, see next slide
- Which files does it open? ⇒ strace -e open
- Which dirs does it look into? ⇒ strace -e access
- Which file is it reading/writing right now? ⇒ strace + /proc/PID/fd

Too much memory use This application is sponsored by RAM makers

Recommended way to check actual memory usage

grep VmData /proc/'pidof kcomboboxtest'/status VmData: 15012 kB

Using massif to see where the allocations are

- alias massif=valgrind -tool=massif -alloc-fn='qMalloc(unsigned long)'
- massif ./kcomboboxtest
- ms_print massif.out.10355 | less

callgrind can also show how many times each method is executed.



Example: strac'ing "kwrapper4 ksmserver" shows it's doing a blocking read on fd 3. What is that, and who can write to it?

- strace -p 'pidof kwrapper4' says read (3
 (in case of select, use the numbers in [])
- /proc/'pidof kwrapper4'/fd/3 says socket:[89758]
- netstat -pn | less -p 89758 says
 - ... 89759 11844/kdeinit4 \$KDETMP/ksocket-me/kdeinit4__0
 ... 89758 11872/kwrapper4

 \blacksquare \Rightarrow kdeinit4 is the one writing on that socket. Thanks Thiago!

JOutline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts

5 Assertions



Assert expectations The impossible cannot happen, and yet it does

Hypothesis says "the impossible actually happened" \Rightarrow add assert, recompile, re-run.

- It will validate/invalidate the hypothesis.
- It will prevent such an infection from happening in the future.

Add method to check class invariants, call from all places where state should be sane. Q_ASSERT(sane()); // idea: print lots of debug before returning false



JOutline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts

5 Assertions









< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≡ > < ≡ > < ≡ > ○ < ○
 David Faure – Bugfixing is fun – 26/31

JOutline

- 1 Reproducing bugs
- 2 Simplify the problem
- 3 Scientific debugging
- 4 Observing facts
- 5 Assertions





Definitions Reproducing bugs Simplify the problem Scientific debugging Observing facts Assertions Fix! And now... OK the fix works, now think about... Don't go home just yet

- how to unit-test the problem (revert!) and the fix
- the initial intent of the code (svn annotate + svn log!) and the opinion of the author
- other cases affecting the same code
- other bugs (that this might not fix, or introduce)
- other places where this might happen
- other people (explain the problem and the fix)
- the users (document fix in bugzilla and in the changelog)
- the kittens (hi Luboš)

Devil's guide to debugging

- Finding the defect by guessing
- Fixing without understanding the problem
- Adding workaround after the problematic code





Book "Why programs fail" by Andreas Zeller

Nove Hrady Presentation on debugging (callgrind example)

http://kdab.net/ dfaure/conf/n7y/Debugging/html



Questions ?

David Faure faure@kde.org

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

